

GENETIC MODELS AND ANALYSIS METHODS FOR SEX-LINKED AND MATERNAL GENE EFFECTS*

Zhu Jun

(Zhejiang Agricultural University, Hangzhou Zhejiang 310029,)

Duan Jialong

(Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei Anhui 230061,)

ABSTRACT

Genetic models are proposed for analyzing sex-linked and maternal effects as well as autosomal gene effects. For the model with no genotype \times environment interaction, the total genetic effect is partitioned into direct additive (A), direct dominance (D), sexlinked (L), maternal additive (Am) and maternal dominance (Dm) genetic components. For the model including genotype \times environment interaction (GE), GE can also be partitioned into components of direct additive by environment interaction (AE), direct dominance by environment interaction (DE), sex-linked by environment interaction (LE), maternal additive by environment interaction (AmE), and maternal dominance by environment interaction (DmE). Linear functions of genetic components are listed for parent, F_1 , and F_2 . A set of parents, their reciprocal F_1 's and F_2 's is applicable for efficient analysis. Variance and covariance components can be well esti-

*本研究由国家教委(跨世纪优秀人才计划)基金资助。

mated by MINQUE(O/I) with the jackknife procedure. The t-test conducted by the jackknife procedure is applicable for detecting significance of variation. Adjusted Unbiased Prediction (AUP) method is suggested for predicting genetic effects.

Key Words: Diallel analysis, Sex-linked and maternal gene effects
Genotype by environment interaction, Variance and covariance components
Genetic prediction.

INTRODUCTION

In animal breeding experiments, sex-linked and maternal effects are the primary sources of reciprocal effects. Eisen et al. (1966) presented a model containing parameters for sex-linked and maternal effects as well as autosomal genetic effects. Cockerham and Weir (1977) suggested a bio-model, including parameters for maternal and paternal effects assuming no sex-linked effects. Carbonell et al. (1983) extended the model of Eberhart and Gardner (1966) to include sex-linked and maternal effects. In all of these models, variance components of sex-linked effects are not estimable by the standard least squares procedures or ANOVA methods.

Zhu and Weir (1994) proposed an animal model which can partition the maternal effects into maternal additive effects and maternal dominance effects. A MINQUE(O/I) method, which is a mixed linear model approach, was also proposed for estimating variance components and covariance components for the animal model (Zhu, 1992; Zhu and Weir, 1994).

In this study, genetic models with sex-linked effects, maternal additive and dominance effects are proposed for diallel crosses with a set of parents and their reciprocal F_1 's and F_2 's. Methods of estimating genetic variance and covariance components, and of predicting genetic effects are presented.

MODELS AND METHODOLOGY

A full diallel crossing system consists of all possible crosses between a set of parents. With method 1 of Griffing's (1956) definition for diallel

mating methods, a set of parents, F_1 's and reciprocal F_1 's as well as their F_2 's from random mating among F_1 's are suggested in use. The assumptions for our genetic models are, (1) regular diploid segregation, (2) inbred parents randomly sampled from a reference population, (3) no epistatic effects, (4) X (or Z) chromosome dosage compensation, and (5) inert Y (or W) chromosome in XY (ZW) cell. If there is no genotype \times environment interaction, the general model for phenotypic mean of sex s in block l from generation k of the cross between maternal line i and paternal line j is

$$Y_{ijksl} = \mu + G_{ijks} + b_l + \varepsilon_{ijksl} \quad (1)$$

where Y_{ijksl} is the average phenotypic value of genetic entry G_{ijks} in block l , μ is a fixed population mean, b_l is the random effect of block l , $b_l \sim (0, \sigma^2_b)$, and ε_{ijksl} is a residual effect, $\varepsilon_{ijksl} \sim (0, \sigma^2_e)$.

Partition of the genotypic effects G_{ijks} for heterogametic progeny (XY or ZW, $S=1$) and for homogametic progeny (XX or ZZ, $S=2$) from dam $i \times$ sire j depends on specific entry. For parent ($k=0, i=j$) or F_1 ($k=1, i \neq j$):

$$G_{(ijk1)}^{XY} = A_i + A_j + D_{ij} + L_{ji} + 2A_{mi} + D_{mii}$$

$$\text{or } G_{(ijk1)}^{ZW} = A_i + A_j + D_{ij} + L_{ji} + 2A_{mi} + D_{mii} \quad (2)$$

$$G_{(ijk2)}^{XX/ZZ} = A_i + A_j + D_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}L_{i2} + \frac{1}{2}L_{j2} + 2A_{mi} + D_{mii}$$

and for F_2 ($k=2, i \neq j$) from random mating among F_1 's:

$$G_{(ijk1)}^{XY/ZW} = A_i + A_j + \frac{1}{4}D_{ii} + \frac{1}{4}D_{jj} + \frac{1}{2}D_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}L_{ii} + \frac{1}{2}L_{jj} + A_{mi} + A_{mj} + D_{mij}$$

$$G_{(ijk2)}^{XX} = A_i + A_j + \frac{1}{4}D_{ii} + \frac{1}{4}D_{jj} + \frac{1}{2}D_{ij} + \frac{3}{4}L_{ii} + \frac{1}{4}L_{jj} + A_{mi} + A_{mj} + D_{mij} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{or } G_{(ijk2)}^{ZZ} = A_i + A_j + \frac{1}{4}D_{ii} + \frac{1}{4}D_{jj} + \frac{1}{2}D_{ij} + \frac{1}{4}L_{ii} + \frac{3}{4}L_{jj} + A_{mi} + A_{mj} + D_{mij}$$

where A_i (or A_j) is the cumulative additive effect of the autosomal genes from dam i (or sire j), A_i (or A_j) $\sim (0, \sigma^2_A)$; D_{ij} is the cumulative dominance effect of the autosomal genes from the cross of dam $i \times$

sire j , $D_{ij} \sim (0, \sigma_D^2)$; L_i (or L_{ji}) is the cumulative additive effect of the sex-linked genes in heterogametic offspring from parent i (or j), L_{i2} (or L_{j2}) is the cumulative additive effect of the sex-linked genes in homogametic offspring from parent i (or j), L_{i1}, L_{j1} (or L_{j1}, L_{i2}) $\sim (0, \sigma_L^2)$; A_{mi} (or A_{ni}) is the maternal additive effect of dam i (or sire j), A_{mi} (or A_{ni}) $\sim (0, \sigma_{Am}^2)$; D_{mij} is the maternal dominance effect of the cross (dam $i \times$ sire j), $D_{mij} \sim (0, \sigma_{Dm}^2)$. There are covariances between direct and maternal gene effects, $\text{Cov}(A_i, A_{ni}) = \sigma_{A_n}$ and $\text{Cov}(D_i, D_{mi}) = \sigma_{D_m}$.

This genetic models can be written in the matrix form of a mixed linear model for all the entries in the mating design,

$$Y = \mathbf{1}\mu + \mathbf{U}_e \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{U}_L \mathbf{e}_L + \mathbf{U}_m \mathbf{e}_m + \mathbf{U}_{Am} \mathbf{e}_{Am} + \mathbf{U}_{Dm} \mathbf{e}_{Dm} + \mathbf{U}_D \mathbf{e}_D + \mathbf{e}_e$$

with variance-covariance matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y) = & \sigma_A^2 \mathbf{U}_A \mathbf{U}_A' + \sigma_D^2 \mathbf{U}_D \mathbf{U}_D' + \sigma_L^2 \mathbf{U}_L \mathbf{U}_L' + \sigma_{Am}^2 \mathbf{U}_{Am} \mathbf{U}_{Am}' + \sigma_{Dm}^2 \mathbf{U}_{Dm} \mathbf{U}_{Dm}' \\ & + \sigma^2 \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}' + \sigma_{A_n} (\mathbf{U}_A \mathbf{U}_{Am}' + \mathbf{U}_{Am} \mathbf{U}_A') + \sigma_{D_m} (\mathbf{U}_D \mathbf{U}_{Dm}' + \mathbf{U}_{Dm} \mathbf{U}_D') \\ & + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{U} is the known incidence matrix relating to the random vector $\mathbf{e} \sim (0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$; \mathbf{U}' is the transpose of \mathbf{U} , and \mathbf{I} is an identity matrix;

when genotype \times environment interactions do exist, genetic experiments should be conducted in different environments. The genetic model including genotype \times environment interactions is an extension of Equation (1). Phenotypic mean of sex s in block l within environment h from generation k of the cross ($i \times j$) is

$$Y_{hijksl} = \mu + E_h + G_{ijk} + GE_{hijk} + b_{i(h)} + \varepsilon_{hijksl} \quad (5)$$

where Y_{hijksl} is the average phenotypic value of genetic entry G_{ijk} ; μ is a fixed population mean; E_h is the fixed effect of the h th environment; $b_{i(h)}$ is the random effect of block l within environment h , $b_{i(h)} \sim$

(O, σ_0^2) ; and $\epsilon_{hi|jksl}$ is a residual effect, $\epsilon_{hi|jksl} \sim (O, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$.

The genotypic effect $G_{i|k}$ is defined the same way as in Equations (2) and (3). The effect of genotype \times environment interaction $GE_{hi|k}$ is defined for parent ($k=0, i=j$) or F_1 ($k=1, i \neq j$) as:

$$GE_{i|k}^{XY} = AE_{hi} + AE_{hj} + DE_{hi} + LE_{hi} + 2A_m E_{hi} + D_m E_{hij}$$

or $GE_{hi|k}^{ZW} = AE_{hi} + AE_{hj} + DE_{hi} + LE_{hj} + 2A_m E_{hi} + D_m E_{hij}$ (6)

$$GE_{hi|k}^{XY/ZW} = AE_{hi} + AE_{hj} + DE_{hi} + \frac{1}{2}LE_{hi2} + \frac{1}{2}LE_{hj2} + 2A_m E_{hi} + D_m E_{hij}$$

and for F_2 ($k=2, i \neq j$) from random mating among F_1 's:

$$GE_{hi|k}^{XY/ZW} = AE_{hi} + AE_{hj} + \frac{1}{4}DE_{hii} + \frac{1}{4}E_{hij} + \frac{1}{2}DE_{hij} + \frac{1}{2}LE_{hi1} + \frac{1}{2}LE_{hj1} + A_m E_{hi} + A_m E_{hj} + D_m E_{hij}$$

$$GE_{hi|k}^{XX} = AE_{hi} + AE_{hj} + \frac{1}{4}DE_{hii} + \frac{1}{4}E_{hij} + \frac{1}{2}DE_{hij} + \frac{3}{4}LE_{hi2} + \frac{1}{4}LE_{hj2} + A_m E_{hi} + A_m E_{hj} + D_m E_{hij}$$
 (7)

or $GE_{hi|k}^{ZZ} = AE_{hi} + AE_{hj} + \frac{1}{4}DE_{hii} + \frac{1}{4}E_{hij} + \frac{1}{2}DE_{hij} + \frac{1}{4}LE_{hi2} + \frac{3}{4}LE_{hj2} + A_m E_{hi} + A_m E_{hj} + D_m E_{hij}$

where AE_{hi} (or AE_{hj}) is the cumulative additive \times environment effect, AE_{hi} (or AE_{hj}) $\sim (O, \sigma_{AE}^2)$; DE_{hi} is the cumulative dominance \times environment effect, $DE_{hi} \sim (O, \sigma_{DE}^2)$; LE_{hi} (or LE_{hj}) is the cumulative additive \times environment effect of the sex-linked genes in heterogametic offspring from parent i (or j), LE_{hi2} (or LE_{hj2}) is the cumulative additive \times environment effect of the sex-linked genes in homogametic offspring from parent i (or j), LE_{hi1} , LE_{hj1} (or LE_{hi2} , LE_{hj2}) $\sim (O, \sigma_{LE}^2)$; $A_m E_{hi}$ (or $A_m E_{hj}$) is the maternal additive \times environment effect, $A_m E_{hi}$ (or $A_m E_{hj}$) $\sim (O, \sigma_{A_mE}^2)$; $D_m E_{hij}$ is the maternal dominance \times environment effect, $D_m E_{hij} \sim (O, \sigma_{D_mE}^2)$. Covari

ances between direct and maternal gene by environment interaction include $\text{Cov}(AE_i, A_n E_i) = \sigma_{AE, AmE}$ and $\text{Cov}(DE_i, D_m E_j) = \sigma_{DE, DmE}$.

The matrix form for all the entries in the mating design is

$$Y = X_0 + U_A e_A + U_D e_D + U_L e_L + U_{Am} e_{Am} + U_{Dm} e_{Dm} + U_{AE} e_{AE} + U_{DE} e_{DE} + U_{LE} e_{LE} + U_{AmE} e_{AmE} + U_{DmE} e_{DmE} + U_e e_0 + U_e e_2$$

with variance-covariance matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y) = & \sigma_A^2 U_A U_A' + \sigma_D^2 U_D U_D' + \sigma_L^2 U_L U_L' + \sigma_{Am}^2 U_{Am} U_{Am}' + \sigma_{Dm}^2 U_{Dm} U_{Dm}' \\ & + \sigma_{AE}^2 U_{AE} U_{AE}' + \sigma_{DE}^2 U_{DE} U_{DE}' + \sigma_{LE}^2 U_{LE} U_{LE}' + \sigma_{AmE}^2 U_{AmE} U_{AmE}' \\ & + \sigma_{DmE}^2 U_{DmE} U_{DmE}' + \sigma_b^2 U_b U_b' + \sigma_{A, An} (U_A U_{Am}' + U_{Am} U_A') \\ & + \sigma_{D, Dm} (U_D U_{Dm}' + U_{Dm} U_D') + \sigma_{AE, AmE} (U_{AE} U_{AmE}' + U_{AmE} U_{AE}') \\ & + \sigma_{DE, DmE} (U_{DE} U_{DmE}' + U_{DmE} U_{DE}') + \sigma_e^2 I \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The MINQUE (O/1) method (Zhu, 1992; Zhu and Weir, 1974) can be used to estimate variance and covariance components in Equations (4) and (8). MINQUE (O/1) is a MINQUE method (Rao, 1971) with prior values of zero for covariances and one for variances.

After obtaining unbiased estimates of variance and covariance components, phenotype variance can then be estimated for genetic model without genotype \times environment interaction

$$V_P = V_A + V_D + V_L + V_{Am} + V_{Dm} + 2C_{A, An} + 2C_{D, Dm} + V_e$$

or for genetic model with genotype \times environment interaction

$$\begin{aligned} V_P = & V_A + V_D + V_L + V_{Am} + V_{Dm} + V_{AE} + V_{DE} + V_{LE} + V_{AmE} + V_{DmE} \\ & + 2C_{A, Am} + 2C_{D, Dm} + 2C_{AE, AmE} + 2C_{DE, DmE} + V_e \end{aligned}$$

Components of phenotype variance should be calculated according to each generation. For genetic model without genotype \times environment interaction,

$$V_P(F_1) = 2\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_D^2 + \sigma_L^2 + 4\sigma_{Am}^2 + \sigma_{Dm}^2 + 4\sigma_{A, Am}^2 + \sigma_e^2$$

$$V_P(F_2) = 2\sigma_A^2 + \frac{3}{8}\sigma_D^2 + \sigma_L^2 + 2\sigma_{Am}^2 + \sigma_{Dm}^2 + 4\sigma_{A, Am}^2 + \sigma_{D, Dm}^2 + \sigma_e^2 \quad (9)$$

or for genetic model with genotype \times environment interaction

methods which can be applied for estimating variance and covariance components in the models. Since these two methods need iterations, there are enormous computations involved with jackknife procedure. We suggest the use of the MINQUE(O/1) method for its unbiased estimation and non-iterative computation.

In breeding practice, parent and hybrid genetic merits are sometimes of more concern to the breeders. The random genetic effects in the genetic models are predictable by the BLUP procedure (Henderson, 1963). Since BLUP needs all the parameter values of variance and covariance components, true BLUP can not be obtained in real experiments. When estimates of variance and covariance components are used in BLUP procedure, it will result in a so-called "BLUP" prediction which is then no longer a linear unbiased prediction. Monte Carlo simulations showed that AUP is superior to BLUP for its unbiasedness in both mean and variance of predicting genetic effects (Zhu, submitted).

Due to the random inactivation of one X-chromosome in the primitive ectoderm lineage of female mammals, the genetic activity of only one X-chromosome is expressed in somatic cells (Lyon, 1988). By the assumption of X-chromosome dosage compensation, heterozygous females are mosaic with half chance inactivation of maternal or paternal X-chromosome. For some domestic animals, there may be no dosage compensation of sex-linked genes (Cox and Morton, 1963). In those situations, the coefficients before L_{12} and L_{21} in Equations (2) and (3) or before LE_{12} and LE_{21} in Equations (6) and (7) should be dropped

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by "Tans-Century Training program Foundation for the Talents" of State Education Commission.

REFERENCES

- (1) Carouell, E. A., et al. 1983, Sex-linked and maternal effects in the Eberhart-Gardner general genetic model. *Biometrics*, 39, 607-619.
- (2) Cockerham, C. C. and Weir, B. S. 1977, Quadratic analyses of reciprocal crosses. *Biomet*

- rics, 33, 187-03.
- (3) Cox, A. G. and Morton, J. R. 1963, Maternal and sex-linked effects on size and conformation in domestic fowl. *Heredity*, 66, 337-350.
 - (4) Eberhart, S. A. and Gardner, C. O. 1966, A general model for genetic effects. *Biometrics*, 22, 864-881.
 - (5) Eisen, E. J., et al. 1966, Sex-linked and maternal effects in the diallel cross. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, 19, 1061-1071.
 - (6) Griffing, B. 1956, Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, 9, 462-493.
 - (7) Hartley, H. D. and Rao, J. N. K. 1967, Maximum-likelihood estimation for the mixed analysis of variance model. *Biometrika* 54, 93-108.
 - (8) Henderson, C. R. 1963, Selection index and expected genetic advance. In, W. D. Haason and H. F. Rodinson eds. *Statistical Genetics and Plant Breeding*. NAS-NRC. 982, 141-163.
 - (9) Miller, R. G. 1974, The jackknife-a review. *Biometrika*, 61, 1-15. Patterson, H. D. and Thompson, R. 1971, Recovery of inter-block information when block sizes are unequal. *Biometrika* 58, 545-554.
 - (10) Rao, C. R. 1971, Estimation of variance and covariance components MINQUE theory. *J. Multivar. Anal.* 1, 257-275.
 - (11) Zhu, J. 1992, Mixed model approaches for estimating genetic variances and covariances. *Chinese J. of Biomath.* 7, 1-11.
 - (12) Zhu, J. 1993, Methods of predicting genotype value and heterosis for offspring of hybrids. *Chinese J. of Biomath.* 8, 32-44.
 - (13) Zhu, J. Submitted, Analysis methods for seed models with genotype \times environment interactions. *Chinese J. of Genet.*
 - (14) Zhu, J. and Weir, B. S. 1994, Analysis of cytoplasmic and maternal effects, I. a genetic model for diploid plant seeds and animals. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* in printing
 - (15) Zhu, J. and Xu, F. H. 1994, A genetic model and its analysis methods for generation means of endosperm traits. *Acta Agronomica Sinica* 20, 264-270