Journal of Experimental Botany doi:10.1093/jxb/erv420 This paper is available online free of all access charges (see http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/open_access.html for further details)



RESEARCH PAPER

Identification, evolution, and expression partitioning of miRNAs in allopolyploid *Brassica napus*

Enhui Shen^{1,*}, Jun Zou^{2,*}, Falk Hubertus Behrens^{3,*}, Li Chen¹, Chuyu Ye¹, Shutao Dai², Ruiyan Li², Meng Ni², Xiaoxue Jiang², Jie Qiu¹, Yang Liu¹, Weidi Wang¹, Qian-Hao Zhu⁴, Boulos Chalhoub⁵, Ian Bancroft⁶, Jinling Meng², Daguang Cai^{3,†} and Longjiang Fan^{1,†}

¹ Institute of Crop Sciences & Institute of Bioinformatics, College of Agriculture and Biotechnology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, PR China

² National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, PR China

³ Department of Molecular Phytopathology and Biotechnology, Christian-Albrechts University of Kiel, Hermann Rodewald Str. 9, D-24118 Kiel, Germany

⁴ CSIRO Agriculture Flagship, Canberra, ACT2601, Australia

⁵ Organization and Evolution of Plant Genomes, Unité de Recherche en Génomique Végétale, Unité Mixte de Recherche 1165 (Institut National de Recherche Agronomique, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Evry Val d'Essonne), Evry 91057, France ⁶ Centre for Novel Agricultural Products (CNAP), Department of Biology, University of York, Wentworth Way, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

[†] To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: fanlj@zju.edu.cn or dcai@phytomed.uni-kiel.de

Received 8 April 2015; Revised 6 August 2015; Accepted 13 August 2015

Editor: Ramanjulu Sunkar

Abstract

The recently published genome of *Brassica napus* offers for the first time the opportunity to gain insights into the genomic organization and the evolution of miRNAs in oilseed rape. In this study, 12 small RNA libraries from two *B. napus* cultivars (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and their four double-haploid lines were sequenced, employing the newly sequenced *B. napus* genome, together with genomes of its progenitors *Brassica rapa* and *Brassica oleracea*. A total of 645 miRNAs including 280 conserved and 365 novel miRNAs were identified. Comparative analysis revealed a high level of genomic conservation of *MIRNAs* (75.9%) between the subgenomes of *B. napus* and its two progenitors' genomes, and *MIRNA* lost/gain events (133) occurred in *B. napus* after its speciation. Furthermore, significant partitioning of miRNA expressions between the two subgenomes in *B. napus* was detected. The data of degradome sequencing, miRNA-mediated cleavage, and expression analyses support specific interactions between miRNAs and their targets in the modulation of diverse physiological processes in roots and leaves, as well as in biosynthesis of, for example, glucosinolates and lipids in oilseed rape. These data provide a first genome-wide view on the origin, evolution, and genomic organization of *B. napus MIRNA*s.

Key words: allopolyploid evolution, Brassica napus, expression partitioning, microRNA.

Introduction

Small RNAs include two main types of small non-coding RNAs, microRNAs (miRNAs) and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). miRNAs are endogenous 20–24 nt RNAs that can

regulate gene expression via complementary binding to specific mRNAs and achieve their functions via post-transcriptional gene silencing in animals and plants (Voinnet, 2009;

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[©] The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

Page 2 of 13 | Shen et al.

Rogers and Chen, 2013). The *MIRNA* gene is first transcribed into primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) and then catalysed by endoribonuclease Dicer-like 1 (DCL1) in association with HYPONASTICLEAVES 1 (HYL1) and SERRATE (SE) proteins leading to the formation of a hairpin structure, or precursor miRNA (premiRNA). The pre-miRNA hairpin structure is further processed into a miRNA/miRNA* duplex, of which the mature miRNA is loaded onto the Argonaute (AGO) protein complex to execute its function (Vazquez *et al.*, 2010). miRNAs are involved in regulating many plant physiological processes including plant development and biotic and abiotic stress responses (Chen, 2009; Khraiwesh *et al.*, 2012; Sunkar *et al.*, 2012; Shen *et al.*, 2014; Zhang 2015).

Brassica napus, known as oilseed rape, is second only to soybean as an oil crop with a world production of over 60 million t (Bancroft et al., 2011). B. napus is an allopolyploid $(A_nA_nC_nC_n)$ species that evolved from the spontaneous hybridization of Brassica rapa (ArAr) and Brassica olera*cea* (C_0C_0) about 7500–12 500 years ago (Nagaharu, 1935; Chalhoub et al., 2014). The lack of the genomic sequence has severely impeded research on *B. napus* miRNAs over the last years. Nevertheless, several studies, mainly based on EST/GSS sequences of B. napus or genomes of B. rapa and B. oleracea, have reported several hundred miRNAs and demonstrated their possible functions in regulating diverse physiological processes (He et al., 2008; Korbes et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2013; Lukasik et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2014). Recently, the genomes of B. napus and its two progenitors $(A_rA_r \text{ and } C_oC_o)$ have been sequenced (Wang et al., 2011; Chalhoub et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014), providing for the first time an opportunity to identify and characterize B. napus miRNAs at the whole-genome level.

This work presents the results of identification and characterization of *B. napus* miRNAs by analysis of small RNA populations from two *B. napus* cultivars (one European winter cultivar,, Tapidor and one Chinese semi-winter cultivar, Ningyou7) and four double-haploid (DH) lines derived from a cross between Tapidor and Ningyou7. These data provide a first genome-wide view on the origin, evolution, and genomic organization of *B. napus MIRNAs*. Potential roles of miR-NAs and their targets in the modulation of diverse physiological processes, e.g. for biosynthesis of glucosinolates and lipids, in oilseed rape are discussed.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

Two *B. napus* cultivars (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and their four DH lines (TN151, TN156, TN177, and TN186) (Qiu *et al.*, 2006) were used for small RNA population investigation. Tapidor and Ningyou7 have significant differences in seed erucic/glucosinolate content and flowering time. Tapidor was used to generate degradome data. The cultivar Express 617 (Shen *et al.*, 2014) was included in miRNA expression analyses. The plants were grown in a growth chamber at 24 °C and a 14h daytime photoperiod. Leaves and roots were harvested at the six-leaf stage for RNA collection. Total RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). Each RNA sample

was generated from leaves or roots of three different plants. The tissue samples used in RNA extraction and small RNA sequencing were collected from two replicated experiments.

Small RNA and degradome sequencing

Small RNA libraries were constructed using the standard Illumina protocol and sequenced by Illumina HiSeq 2000 (BIOMARKER, China). Twelve libraries (two cultivars and four DH lines from two replicated experiments) were sequenced (Supplementary Table S1, available at *JXB* online). Before miRNA prediction, the adaptors and low-quality reads were removed. The clean reads were further compared with the annotated non-coding RNA sequences, including plant snoRNA (version 1.2; http://bioinf.scri.sari.ac.uk/cgibin/plant_snorna/home), tRNA (http://gtrnadb.ucsc.edu/), rRNA (V11.0; http://rfam.xfam.org/) and rasiRNA (release 09-02-2014; http://www.girinst.org/server/RepBase/). Two degradome libraries from the leaf and root of the six-leaf stage of Tapidor were sequenced by Illumina HiSeq 2000 (BIOMARKER) resulting in a total of 47 million raw tags. All sequences have been submitted to the GenBank/EMBL data libraries (accession no. PRJNA272953).

Prediction of miRNA and targets

The clean reads were aligned with the genome of *B. napus* (Chalhoub et al., 2014; version 5.0) for identification of miRNAs. Mireap (http:// sourceforge.net/projects/mireap/) was applied to predict secondary hairpin structures of MIRNAs with following parameters: the hairpin structure with free energy lower than -18 kcal mol⁻¹; the space between miRNA and miRNA* is less than 300 nt; and with more than 16 matched nucleotides and fewer than four nucleotide bulges between miRNA and miRNA*. Only small RNAs with at least two reads in a library were used for miRNA prediction. By comparison with the miRBase (http://www.mirbase.org, release 21), a miRNA was considered as conserved if its mature sequence had two nucleotide or fewer than two nucleotide mismatches to the known miRNA or as a novel miRNA when there are more than two nucleotide mismatches (Meyers et al., 2008). For the conserved miRNAs, the same miRNA/family names as in miRBase were assigned, but with new serial numbers (such as b, c, d) in some cases. For the novel miR-NAs, the names bna_novel_miRX1 to bna_novel_miRX214 were given (Meyers et al., 2008).

The targets of miRNAs in *B. napus* were predicted via the online sever psRNATarget (Dai and Zhao, 2011). Using the function 'Usersubmitted small RNAs/ user-submitted transcripts', the query of transcripts was generated from the *B. napus* genome. Default parameters were used to filter candidates. The software PatMan was used to map the degradomic reads to the targets, and custom perl scripts were employed to identify candidate degradative targets. According to previous results (Jones-Rhoades and Bartel, 2004; Shen *et al.*, 2014; Song et al., 2010*a*, *b*; Zhao *et al.*, 2012), the ends of degradomic reads were considered when they were within the 5 nt region of the cleavage site.

Lipid biosynthesis-related genes

As reference, the *Arabidopsis* lipid-related gene database was downloaded at http://aralip.plantbiology.msu.edu/ (Li-Beisson *et al.*, 2013). The annotation of lipid-related genes in *B. napus* was carried out using the method of Wang *et al.* (2014) with minor modifications, i.e. BLASTp under the E-value <1e–5 and sequence identify >50% for the search of orthologous genes.

Genomic synteny of MIRNAs

The synteny or co-linearity of *MIRNAs* among the three *Brassica* species (*B. napus*, *B. rapa*, and *B. oleracea*) was detected by MCScanX (Wang *et al.*, 2012). The genomes of *B. oleracea* (http://ocri-genomics.org/bolbase/, version 1.0), *B. rapa* (Phytozome 10.2)

and B. napus (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicanapus/data/, version 5.0), and their annotated gene sets were used for the genomic synteny analysis. BLASTp was employed to determine the synteny by pairwise comparison with the parameters of E-vlaue <1e-5 and max_target_seqs < 6. MIRNA orthologues in B. napus, B. rapa, and B. oleracea were analysed using a microsynteny-based method (Ma et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2014). For each MIRNA, its 10 flanking protein-coding loci were retrieved from the B. rapa and B. oleracea genomes, respectively. Homology tests of MIRNA and flanking genes were performed by BLASTn and the top five hits of each MIRNA were chosen for flanking loci tests. A syntenic MIRNA pair among *B. napus*, *B. rapa*, and *B. oleracea* was defined with at least one identical upstream or downstream flanking protein-coding gene. Syntenic MIRNAs were divided into four sets as described by Shen et al. (2014). The first three sets were taken as syntenic MIRNAs for construction of the Circos map (Krzywinski et al., 2009).

Transcript analysis of miRNAs and target genes

Low-molecular-weight RNA was enriched by 5% polyethylene glycol (M_r 8000) and 0.5 M NaCl precipitation (Lu *et al.*, 2007). Mature miRNA expression analysis was performed by stem-loop reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) (Shen et al., 2014). For cDNA synthesis, 200 ng of low-molecular-weight RNA from each sample was reverse transcribed using a RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific) with U6 snRNA as the internal control. For cDNA synthesis of predicted targets, 2 µg of total RNA from each sample was used. qPCR reactions were performed in a CFX96[™] Real-Time System/C1000 Touch[™] Thermal Cycler (BioRad) with MAXIMA® SYBR Green Master Mix (Fermentas) and actin as the reference gene. Invariant expression of the actin gene in seedling roots and leaves was assured beforehand. The relative expression fold change of miRNAs and related genes were calculated using the comparative $C_{\rm T}$ method. All reactions were performed in triplicate with three independent experiments. The primer pairs were listed in Supplementary Table S2, available at JXB online.

Expression levels of miRNAs in parental and DH lines

The expression levels of miRNAs were measured by the small RNA abundance. The amount of small RNA reads was transformed into reads per million (RPM) with the formula RPM=reads count/clean reads×10⁶). PatMan was employed to map the small RNA reads to mature miRNA sequences. Small RNA reads were counted when their position was within the length of the mature miRNA sequence. The miRNA read that was mapped to both of the A and C subgenomes was counted twice. At least a twofold change was taken to define the differentially expressed miRNAs between offspring and the mean values of parental lines in regard to the read number with corresponding mature pre-miRNAs.

Sequences alignment and visualization

MAFFT (http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/, version 7) was employed for multiple sequences alignment. The WebLogo (http:// weblogo.threeplusone.com/create.cgi) was used to create the logo for the alignment results and CLC Sequence Viewer 6 (CLC Bio, Aarhus, Denmark) was used for the display of the conservation among whole sequences. The distribution of miRNAs and their partners was plotted in a 1 Mb window size by Circos (Krzywinski *et al.*, 2009). The UEA sRNA workbench (Stocks *et al.*, 2012) was employed to visualize the hairpin structure of miRNAs with the corresponding mature sequence and parameters generated by Mireap.

Annotation of transposable elements

As no annotation data for transposable elements in the newly released *B. napus* genome were available, transposable elements were

identified in the genome using a combination of *de novo* and homology-based approaches as follows. First, the RepeatModeler pipeline was used to search for repeats in the genome and RepeatMask was then used to identify repeats in Repbase (Tempel, 2012). Long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons were found using LTR_FINDER with default parameters (Xu and Wang, 2007).

Search for miRNAs previously identified in the B. napus genome

BlastN was employed to map the miRNA precursor sequence onto the *B. napus* genome with an E-value of <1e-5 and a matched length longer than 90% of the query sequence.

RNA ligase-mediated (RLM)-5'RACE analysis

To validate the predicted targets of miRNAs, 5'RACE was carried out with 2 μ g of total RNA using a GeneRacerTM Kit (Invitrogen) as described by Shen *et al.* (2014). The reverse transcription of mRNAs was performed using the random primers. For amplification of cDNA ends, a pair of gene-specific primers was designed (Supplementary Table S2). A touchdown PCR was performed. One microlitre of this initial touchdown PCR was used as template for the following nested PCR. The reaction products were separated on a 1.7% agarose gel. The bands with the expected size were excised, purified, and cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega) for sequencing.

Results

Identification of miRNAs

For a genome-wide analysis of *B. napus* miRNAs, 136 263 599 raw reads of 18–44 nt were generated from 12 small RNA libraries (two replicates) of young leaves of two parental lines (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and their four DH lines (Supplementary Table S1). From these, 105 219 098 clean reads were obtained. The majority of the clean reads were 21–24 nt small RNAs, consistent with a previous report (Shen *et al.*, 2014; Supplementary Fig. S1, available at *JXB* online). Of the clean reads, 64.9% could be mapped to the *B. napus* genome. After removing the non-coding RNAs, the remaining reads, referred to as endogenous small RNAs, were used for miRNA prediction.

We identified 645 miRNAs, including 280 conserved miR-NAs from 34 families and 365 novel miRNAs from 225 families (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S3, available at JXB online). Out of 645 miRNAs, 275 and 364 originated from the A_n and C_n subgenomes, respectively (six miRNAs

Table 1. miRNAs and their targets in B. napus identified in thisstudy

Туре	miRNA	6	miRNAs target to:		
	Total	A _n /C _n	Glucosinolates ^a	Lipid ^a	
Conserved	280	143/136	1 (1)	55 (27)	
Novel	365	132/228	10 (6)	103 (95)	
Total	645	639 ^b	11 (7)	158 (122)	

^a Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of glucosinolates and lipid biosynthesis-related genes that were predicted to be targeted by miRNAs.

^b Six miRNAs located in unplaced scaffolds.

remained unassigned). Besides the known *B. napus* miRNAs deposited in the current international miRNA database miR-Base (release 21) and reported in recent studies (Huang *et al.*, 2013; Lukasik *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2013; Shen *et al.*, 2014), 351 miRNAs including 47 conserved from 19 families and 304 novel miRNAs from 187 families were newly identified by this study. To confirm the expression of the predicted miRNAs, eight conserved and 14 novel miRNAs were chosen for stem–loop reverse transcription PCR analysis. The results confirmed the expected expression of all eight conserved and 13 of the 14 novel miRNAs, except for a non-specific amplification of miRX132 in *B. napus* seedlings (Supplementary Fig. S2, available at *JXB* online).

Prediction and validation of miRNA targets

The targets of the newly identified miRNAs were predicted (Supplementary Table S4, available at JXB online). Consistent with the results reported previously, the majority of potential targets of the conserved miRNAs were transcription factors and shared high homology with Arabidopsis orthologues. For example, both miR160 and miR167 target auxin response factor (ARF) transcription factors (Mallory et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2006), miR156/miR157 and miR162 target squamosa promoter-binding-like protein (SPL) and Dicer-liker (DCL) protein in Arabidopsis and B. napus. Of the 365 novel miR-NAs, 363 (99.4%) had putative targets in the annotated gene sets of *B. napus*. To validate the miRNA-target interaction, degradome sequencing was performed with RNA samples from Tapidor roots and young leaves, confirming 849 targets predicted for 263 conserved and 291 novel miRNAs (31 and 168 families), respectively (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table S4). Among the targets of 291 novel miRNAs, a number of genes were stress or environmental adaptation related. The Arabidopsis orthologue of the miRX50 target TIL is a heat stress-related gene (Chi et al., 2009). The orthologue of the miRX137 target SBT is associated with the density and distribution of stomates (Berger and Altmann, 2000). miRX159 and miRX163 target the genes PRX and SDR encoding dehydrogenase/reductase and peroxidase, respectively (Passardi et al., 2004; Kavanagh et al., 2008).

Ten miRNAs (nine novel miRNAs) were predicted to target genes involved in biosynthesis or breakdown of glucosinolates (GSLs) (Chalhoub et al., 2014) (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S5, available at JXB online). The miRNA-mediated regulation was confirmed for six of the 10 miRNAs either by degradomic data or by RLM-5'RACE (Supplementary Table S4, Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. S4, available at JXB online). Three of these miRNAs play a role in GSL metabolism (Supplementary Table S5). 1) miRX95 was predicted to target BnaC05g12520D, an orthologue of the GSL biosynthesis-related gene CYP79F1 (AT1G16410) in Arabidopsis (Chalhoub et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014). The biosynthesis of GSL is mediated by CYP79F1 (Reintanz et al., 2001). There were two single-nucleotide polymorphisms between Tapidor and Ningyou7 in the predicted miRX95 target region, which resulted in additional (G:U) mismatches in the miRX95 binding site (binding prediction score >3.0) in Tapidor, which has a potential impact on the miRX95-mediated regulation of BnaC05g12520D and consequently the GSL biosynthesis in Tapidor. miR6032a was predicted to target BnaA03g38670D. Its *Arabidopsis* orthologue *APK1* (AT2G14750) is involved in the biosynthesis of a major class of sulfated secondary GSL (Mugford *et al.*, 2009). Lastly, miRX113 was predicted to target BnaA07g19610D, an orthologue of *Arabidopsis PEN3* (AT1G59870). PEN3 is required for breakdown of GSLs (Wittstock and Burow, 2010).

Based on a homologue search using the 738 annotated lipid biosynthesis-related genes in Arabidopsis (http://aralip.plantbiology.msu.edu), 2606 putative lipid biosynthesis-related genes were identified in B. napus. Of these, 122 genes were predicted targets of 158 miRNAs, comprising 55 conserved and 103 novel miRNAs (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S6, available at JXB online), from which 27 targets of 45 miRNAs were identified by the degradomic data (Supplementary Table S4). For example, one novel miRNA (miRX63) was predicted to target BnaA03g02950D, an orthologue of Arabidopsis PAS2 or AT5G10480 that has acyl-CoA dehydratase activity and has been shown to be involved in the biosynthesis of very long chain fatty acids (see http://www.tair.org). An opposite change in the expression of miRX63 and BnaA03g02950D in leaves and roots of two of the three cultivars was obvious (Fig. 2), suggesting that miRX63-mediated regulation of the lipid biosynthesis-related gene BnaA03g02950D might play a role in the development of leaf and root. As GSL and lipid biosynthesis is regulated by complex mechanisms and by developmental stages (Grubb and Abel, 2006; Pollard et al., 2008; Niu et al., 2009), more detailed investigations are needed to clarify the role of these miRNA-target interactions in the biosynthesis of GSL and lipids in the future.

To identify miRNAs involved in regulating different physiological processes in roots and leaves, respectively, the small RNA populations from leaves were compared with those from roots of *B. napus* (Shen *et al.*, 2014) and 59 miRNAs were identified including 35 novel ones, which gave a significant difference in the read numbers (>2-fold) between the roots and leaves (Supplementary Table S7, available at *JXB* online). Differential expression was confirmed for 10 selected miRNAs (four conserved and six novel miRNAs) by stem– loop qPCR (Supplementary Fig. S3, available at *JXB* online). Among these, several miRNAs (such as miR159 and miR319) have a pronounced role in regulating plant leaf/root development (Khraiwesh *et al.*, 2012).

The expression profiles of four of these miRNAs and their targets in roots and leaves were further investigated using RT-qPCR. As shown in Fig. 2, in all four cases (miR319, miR6032, miRX63, and miR148), a reciprocal change in the expression levels between the miRNA and its target was evident. Thus, these data suggested a direct miRNA-target expression modulation in regulation of developmental and/ or physiological processes in the root and leaf.

Evolution of miRNAs after the allopolyploidization event

The availability of the genomes of *B. napus* and their two progenitors provides an opportunity to look at the expansion and



Fig. 1. Identification of candidate targets of miRNAs by degradome experiments. Six targets of one conserved and five novel miRNAs are shown in the panels as an example. The *x*-axis indicates the position of target genes while the *y*-axis represents the abundance of sequenced reads. Each circle is a degradome fragment that can be mapped to the corresponding target gene and the arrows indicate the expected miRNA positions. The putative miRNA-binding sites are depicted within the corresponding target transcripts within the sequence alignments. The cleavage sites deduced from the degradome data are indicated by the arrows. The stars indicate the cleavages validated by the 5'RLM-RACE assays. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

loss of miRNAs in *B. napus*. The synteny of *MIRNAs* between *B. napus* ($A_nA_nC_nC_n$) and its two progenitor genomes (A_rA_r and C_oC_o) was first investigated by mapping the 851 *MIRNAs* identified from the two progenitor genomes in a previous study (Shen *et al.*, 2014) to the *B. napus* genome. To ascertain genomic synteny of the predicted *MIRNAs* between the genomes of *B. napus* and its two progenitors, a microsynteny analysis was performed using the *MIRNAs* and their flanking

protein-coding sequences. As expected, the majority (646/851, 75.9%) of the two progenitor *MIRNA* orthologues existed in the corresponding subgenomes of *B. napus* (Supplementary Table S8, available at *JXB* online), although a small number (72) of these *MIRNA*s showed a match to the opposite subgenome of *B. napus* (Fig. 3). These results support the observation of a high conservation between the subgenomes of *B. napus* and its two progenitor genomes (Chalhoub

Page 6 of 13 | Shen et al.



Fig. 2. Differential expression of miRNAs and their targets in roots and leaves of three oilseed rape cultivars. The sequences depict the miRNA-binding site within the target transcript in the middle of each panel. The arrows represent the miRNA cleavage sites on targets with degradome/RACE evidence. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

et al., 2014). In addition, 115 *MIRNAs*, including 104 novel *MIRNAs*, failed to show any homologous sequence hit in the *B. napus* genome (Supplementary Table S9, available at *JXB* online). Of these, five conserved *MIRNAs* (e.g. members of the miR169 and miR172 families) were obviously lost due to sequence deletions or mutations as revealed by comparative genome analysis between *B. napus* and it two progenitors (Table 2; an example of miR169 member is shown in Fig. 4a).

In the next step, the 645 miRNAs of *B. napus* were compared with *Arabidopsis* miRNAs (miRBase, release 21). A significant expansion of several miRNA families, including miR156, miR160, and miR169 in *B. napus*, was observed (Supplementary Table S10). Since such expanded miRNA families could also be found in the two progenitor genomes, it was concluded that these expansion events must have occurred before the AA-CC speciation hybridization (Supplementary Table S10).

However, 18 *B. napus MIRNAs* (six novel and 12 conserved *MIRNAs*) were not present in the syntenic regions of the two progenitor genomes, suggesting that they might be newly generated after the allopolyploidization event in *B. napus* (Supplementary Table S11, available at *JXB* online). The 12



Fig. 3. Synteny of miRNAs between *B. napus* ($A_nA_nC_nC_n$) and its two progenitor genomes (A_rA_r and C_oC_o). The 851 *MIRNAs* from the *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea* genomes were mapped to the *B. napus* genome. The lines refer to the best matches of the miRNAs from the two progenitors in the *B. napus* genome. A_n and C_n represents the A and C subgenome of *B. napus*, A_r indicates the genome of *B. rapa*, and C_o stands for the genome of *B. oleracea*. The black lines indicate the miRNA best match in A_n-A_r and C_n-C_o, and the red lines represent the miRNA best match in A_n-C_o and C_n-A_r.

newly generated conserved MIRNAs, including six, two, and three from the miR156, miR171, and miR166 families, respectively (Table 2 and Supplementary Table S11), obviously originated from the existing MIRNAs via the gene or genomic segmental duplication events. The expression of three of the six novel miRNAs (bna-miRX46 as an example shown in Fig. 4b) could be confirmed in *B. napus* seedlings by the stem-loop reverse transcription PCR analysis (Supplementary Fig. S2). The predicted targets of the six novel miRNAs could be confirmed by the degradome sequencing (Supplementary Table S4). These newly evolved *B. napus* miRNAs might be associated with adaptation to changed environmental conditions under natural and artificial selection pressure. In support of this, the targets of miRX132.1 (BnaA05g30540D for the putative defensin-like protein 73 and BnaA03g50380D for the putative F-box/LRR-repeat protein 19) were related to plant defence responses. Also, the target of miR46.1 (BnaC03g35590D for phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinase 6) interacts with the lipid pathway (Supplementary Table S8).

Partitioning and heritability of miRNA expression

To investigate the partitioned expression of miRNAs between the A_n and C_n subgenomes, the expression levels and patterns of miRNAs in the A_n or C_n subgenome were compared based on small RNA reads. Although 275 and 364 *MIRNAs* were identified from the A_n and C_n subgenomes, respectively, a slightly higher genomic density of *MIRNAs* was observed in the A_n subgenome (1.03 and 0.73 *MIRNAs* per Mb for the A_n and C_n subgenomes), which was similar to those observed in the two progenitor genomes (Shen *et al.*, 2014). To measure miRNA expression (see Materials and methods), the number of small RNA reads of corresponding mature miRNAs were compared, and a significantly higher abundance of reads (per unique mature miRNA sequence per million reads) was observed in the A_n subgenome than in the C_n subgenome (*P*<0.01) (Table 3, Fig. 5). To confirm

this, small RNA populations were generated from Tapidor and Ningyou7 seedlings grown under independent environmental conditions and sequenced. A similar bias of miRNA expression was obvious between the two subgenomes (Table 3). The comparison of miRNA expression patterns of four Tapidor/Ningyou7-derived DH lines with those of their parental lines also gave similar biased expression patterns of miRNAs (P<0.01 and P<0.02 in two environments, respectively; Table 3). When the individual miRNA families (for 32 with members > 3) were compared, only 13 of the 32 families were found, which were the main contributors to the different miRNA read abundance or miRNA expression levels between the An and Cn subgenomes, while the remaining 19 miRNA families showed a similar expression pattern between the A_n and C_n subgenomes. These data strongly suggested a partitioned expression of miRNA between the two subgenomes after the hybridization of speciation in B. napus.

To investigate the heredity of miRNA expression, small RNA populations from seedlings of the same developmental stage (six leaves) of the two parental lines (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and their four DH lines were analysed. After normalization of small RNA reads, the expression levels of miR-NAs in Tapidor and Ningyou7 were compared with those in the four DH lines. Using a 2-fold change of the average expression level of a miRNA in the four DH lines relative to their parental lines as the threshold value (Stupar *et al.*, 2007), the additive genetic effect of miRNAs in *B. napus* was estimated. Significantly, a higher number of miRNAs with a non-additive rather than with an additive genetic effect (2- to 3-fold) were observed in the four DH lines (Supplementary Table S12, available at *JXB* online), suggesting the potential dominance of non-additive genetics of miRNAs in *B. napus*.

Finally, the expression levels of other small RNAs in the *B. napus* genome were investigated and a genomic bias was also observed for siRNA expression (Fig. 5). However, this was totally in contrast to the A_n -biased miRNA expression, i.e. a higher abundance of small RNA reads was observed

Page 8 of 13 | Shen et al.

Table 2. Changes of copy numbers of miRNA families between B. napus and its two progenitors (B. rapa and B. oleracea)

Family	B. napus		B. rapaª	B. oleracea ^a	$(A_nA_nC_nC_n)-(A_rA_r+C_oC_o)$		
	A _n A _n	C _n C _n	A _r A _r	C°C°	Based on small RNAs	Based on genomic synteny	
miR156	17	19	17	15	4	5	
miR171	11	7	7	3	8	3	
miR166	13	11	6	4	14	2	
miR168	9	9	5	7	6	1	
miR169	16	15	26	19	-14	-2	
miR172	8	6	11	9	-6	-2	
miR390	6	2	6	6	-4	-1	
miR395	5	5	9	6	-5	0	
miR159	1	2	4	4	-5	0	
miR398	1	1	4	3	-5	0	
miR160	9	9	7	7	4	0	
miR165	2	0	3	3	-4	0	

Only part of the miRNA families are shown here and a full list is provided in Supplementary Table S10, available at JXB online.

^a Based on Shen et al. (2014).

in the C_n but not in the A_n subgenome (2.1-fold difference between the two subgenomes), suggesting that more siR-NAs are generated or expressed from the C_n subgenome. Consistent with this, the content of LTR retrotransposons was found to be 10.3-fold higher in the C_n subgenome than in the A_n subgenome (Fig. 5), a phenomenon also observed previously (Chalhoub *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2014), implying the possible involvement of these repetitive transposable elements in the biogenesis of siRNAs.

Discussion

This study carried out the first genome-wide investigation of miRNAs in *B. napus* using the newly sequenced *B. napus* genome. In addition to known *B. napus* miRNAs, identified mainly based on the two progenitor genomes of *B. napus* (Zhou *et al.*, 2012; Korbes *et al.*, 2012; Xu *et al.*, 2012; Zhao *et al.*, 2012, Shen *et al.*, 2014), a new set of *B. napus* miR-NAs was identified, including both conserved and novel ones. As expected, the number of *B. napus* miRNAs identified so far is significantly higher than that in the model dicot species *Arabidopsis thaliana* and monocot species rice (*Oryza sativa*). To the best of our knowledge, this represents the largest miRNA population ever found in a single plant species up to now, thus offering a unique dataset for the study of the origin, genomic structure, and evolution of miRNAs in allopolyploid plant genomes.

The results of this study support the observation of a high genomic conservation between the subgenomes of *B. napus* and its two progenitor genomes (Chalhoub *et al.*, 2014). In many cases, it was not possible to distinguish the miRNA reads between the A and C subgenomes as they share the same mature sequence. The genomic synteny regions applied in this study allowed a precise localization of miRNA loci in the orthologous regions of both subgenomes. Only miRNAs with unique mature sequences in the A or C subgenome were

defined as A or C subgenome-specific sequences, respectively. The majority of the two progenitor MIRNA orthologues were found to exist in the corresponding subgenomes of *B. napus*, and only a small group of MIRNAs showed an opposite match to the subgenomes. These mismatches might be a result of the homeologous recombination or gene-level duplication events during/after the AA-CC hybridization. Also, 115 MIRNAs were found only in the genomes of the two progenitors and not in the B. napus genome, strongly suggesting a possible loss of these MIRNAs due to sequence deletion or mutation (Table 2, Fig. 4a), although these MIRNAs could be undetected due to limited assembly of the two progenitor genomes. Because of a high genetic redundancy after the AA-CC hybridization, it is conceivable that the miRNA loss occurred in the B. napus genome. As *B. napus* has a very recent origin (~7500 years ago; Chalhoub et al., 2014), it would be expected that the numbers of conserved miRNAs in B. napus will be decreased to a relatively stable level in future, as observed in the tetraploid cotton genome (Xie and Zhang, 2015). However, the allopolyploidization event resulted in an increase in the number of MIRNAs in B. napus by generating new MIRNAs through gene or genomic segmental duplication events. As revealed by the genomic microsynteny analysis, at least 12 of the 18 newly generated MIRNAs in B. napus obviously originated from the existing conserved MIRNAs (miR156, miR171, and miR166 families) in the two progenitors via such genomic reorganization. For instance, miR156 affects the flowering time and responses to biotic and abiotic stress (Sunkar et al., 2012; Stief et al., 2014). Similarly, miR171 also participates in the biotic and abiotic stress responses (Zhou et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008), while miR166 can alter the development and polarity of the leaf via an interaction with HD-ZIP genes (Emery et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2005). Following this, it is reasonable to speculate that the 12 new copies of these conserved MIRNAs might greatly contribute to the environmental adaptation of *B. napus*. Their origins might be attributed to natural selection. In addition, a significant expansion of



Fig. 4. Gain and loss of miRNAs in B. napus. (a) An example of miR169 loss in B. napus. The upper part was the syntemy region among the three species. The deletion of several bases on chromosome A08 of B. napus, which caused the loss of one member of miR169 family in B. napus. (b) The novel-miRX46.1 as example for the gain of miRNAs in B. napus. The upper part indicates the synteny region found by MCScan, in which an insertion sequence was detected on the chromosome C02 of B. napus. The sequence can form a perfect hairpin structure as indicated in the lower part.

and miR169, have obviously enlarged the number of miRNAs

a few conserved miRNA families, such as miR156, miR160, in *B. napus* (Supplementary Table S10), but these expansions have mostly occurred before the AA-CC hybridization,

Page 10 of 13 | Shen et al.

Table 3. Comparison of miRNA expression in the two subgenomes in B. napus

The expression levels were measured by Illumina sequencing reads per unique mature sequence of miRNA or per Mb per million reads in two DH parental lines (Tapidor and Ningyou7 or T/N) and their four DH lines.

Expression level (read density) ^a	A _n	C _n	C _n /A _n	P value	Based on:
Reads (E1) per mature miRNA	4293.26	2360.90	0.55	<0.01	T/N
Reads (E2) per mature miRNA	3119.39	2261.51	0.72	<0.01	T/N
Reads (E1) per Mb	3928	1493	0.52	<0.01	T/N
Reads (E2) per Mb	2854	4231.09	0.68	<0.01	T/N
Reads (E1) per mature miRNA	6226.15	4231.09	0.68	<0.01	4 DH lines
Reads (E2) per mature miRNA	2006.64	1449.13	0.72	0.02	4 DH lines
Reads (E1) per Mb	5696.26	2792.52	0.49	<0.01	4 DH lines
Reads (E2) per Mb	1835.86	956.43	0.52	<0.01	4 DH lines

^a Small RNA populations in two parental lines (T/N) were collected and sequenced in two different environments (E1 and E2), respectively.



Fig. 5. Genomic distribution of miRNAs in *B. napus*. From outer to inter circles: (1) chromosomes of *B. napus*; (2) LTR retrotransposon; (3) small RNA reads; (4) 24 nt small RNA reads; (5) miRNAs identified by this study; (6) small RNA reads that can be mapped to the mature sequences of miRNAs. The peak value represents the density of each element with a window of 1 Mb in the *B. napus* genome.

as such expansion events could also be observed in the two progenitor genomes (Supplementary Table S10). This is consistent with the very recent origin of the AACC genome in *B. napus*. Unequal genomic/segmental duplications as well as tandem duplications of *MIRNA*s obviously might also have greatly contributed to the *MIRNA* expansion in the *B. napus* genome (Shen *et al.*, 2014).

An interesting finding in this study was the partitioned expression of miRNAs between the two subgenomes of *B. napus.* A significantly higher abundance of miRNAs in the A_n subgenome than in the C_n subgenome was observed in at least 13 miRNA families in two different genetic backgrounds (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and under different environmental conditions. Furthermore, similar results observed in the four Tapidor/Ningyou7-derived DH lines provide further genetic evidence for partitioning of miRNA expression between the two subgenomes in *B. napus.* It is of great interest and importance to explore the regulation and functional relevance of the

partitioning of miRNA expression in oilseed rape in the future. In this study, a potential dominance of non-additive genetics for miRNAs in *B. napus* was suggested for the first time by heredity analyses of the expression patterns of each miRNA using small RNA populations from the parental lines (Tapidor and Ningyou7) and four Tapidor/Ningyou7-derived DH lines. Nevertheless, further investigations using more DH lines or offspring lines from different parental lines and in particular their degradome data are needed to confirm this observation.

miRNAs are believed to have played an important role in genome polyploidy (Ng et al., 2012), as well as in regulating network in speciation and subsequent evolution of polyploidy crops (Yao et al., 2007; Kenan-Eichler et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2012; Gong et al., 2013; Xie and Zhang, 2015). In upland cotton (AADD, Gossypium hirsutum L.), miRNA loss and gain after the hybridization of AA and DD species were detected at the genomic level. However, significant expansions of several AADD-specific miRNA families were identified in cotton but not in *B. napus*. This might be attributed to the post-Neolithic origin of *B. napus* (Chalhoub *et al.*, 2014). The allotetraploid cotton arose from the union of two types of diploid cotton genomes about 1-2 million years ago (Li et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Similar to the observations here in B. napus, the biased expressions of miRNAs in the two subgenomes of a tetraploid were also observed in cotton (Gong et al., 2013; Xie and Zhang, 2015). Significantly higher expression of ~20 conserved miRNAs in the AA subgenome than in the DD subgenome in cotton were reported (Gong *et al.*, 2013). These results suggest that miRNAs play an important role in the regulation network in speciation and subsequent evolution of polyploidy crops.

Increasing data suggest that miRNAs are involved in regulating plant responses to diverse developmental and physiological processes, as well as plant responses to diverse biotic and abiotic stress (Khraiwesh et al., 2012). By comparison with previously sequenced small RNA populations from roots (Shen et al., 2014), a number of miRNAs were identified here that are differentially expressed between the root and leaf of B. napus. Among these are miR159, miR164, miR166, miR168, miR172, miR319, and miR390, which have been demonstrated previously to have an effect on leaf development in Arabidopsis (Emery et al., 2003; Palatnik et al., 2003; Achard et al., 2004; Allen et al., 2005; Laufs et al., 2004; Vaucheret et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2005; Schwab et al., 2005; Williams et al., 2005), as well as miR160 and miR393, which have been shown to influence the development of roots via inhibition of their target genes (ARF and TIR, respectively) in Arabidopsis (Navarro et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007). The opposite expression patterns between miRNAs and their targets occurred in the root and leaf of B. napus, suggesting their conserved role in the regulation of developmental or physiological processes in the root and leaf of B. napus. Of great importance, a subset of novel miRNAs were identified that could have a specific function in regulating the expression of specific traits, such as biosynthesis of lipids and GSLs in oilseed rape. Further experimental investigation and analysis are needed to gain insights into the underlying mechanisms.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

Supplementary Table S1. Small RNAs generated from *B. napus* by this study.

Supplementary Table S2. Primers used in this study.

Supplementary Table S3. A list of miRNAs identified in *B. napus* by this study.

Supplementary Table S4. Predicted miRNA targets and targets with degradomic evidence.

Supplementary Table S5. Details about miRNAs predicted to target glucosinolate-related genes in Darmor (reference genome), Tapidor, and Ningyou7.

Supplementary Table S6. Lipid-related miRNAs identified in *B. napus* in this study.

Supplementary Table S7. Differential expressed miRNAs in root and leaf at the seedling stage.

Supplementary Table S8. The 646 miRNAs (Shen *et al.*, 2014) with high similarity (>95%) between *B. napus* and its two progenitors.

Supplementary Table S9. The 851 miRNAs previously identified in the two progenitor genomes (Shen *et al.*, 2014) mapped to the *B. napus* genome.

Supplementary Table S10. Changes of conserved miRNA family sizes in *B. napus* and its two progenitors compared with *A. thaliana*.

Supplementary Table S11. The loss and gain of miRNAs in *B. napus* after the hybridization of its two progenitors.

Supplementary Table S12. The additive genetic effects of miRNA expression between DH lines and their parents.

Supplementary Fig. S1. Frequency distribution of small RNA reads with different length by this study.

Supplementary Fig. S2. Expression analysis of nine conserved and 13 novel miRNAs by stem–loop RT-PCR.

Supplementary Fig. S3. Eleven differentially expressed miRNAs in leaf and roots in three *B. napus* cultivars.

Supplementary Fig. S4. RACE reactions with three selected miRNAs as examples.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2011CB109306/2015CB150200), the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2013IM030700), the National Science Foundation of China (31100876), and the FNR (Fachagentur Nachwachsende Rohstoffe), Germany (22410312). The authors thank DAAD and the China Scholarship Council for travel grants and the Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Modern Crop Production (JCIC-MCP).

References

Achard P, Herr A, Baulcombe DC, Harberd NP. 2004. Modulation of floral development by a gibberellin-regulated microRNA. Development **131**, 3357–3365.

Allen E, Xie Z, Gustafson AM, Carrington JC. 2005. microRNA-directed phasing during *trans*-acting siRNA biogenesis in plants. Cell **121**, 207–221.

Bancroft I, Morgan C, Fraser F, et al. 2011. Dissecting the genome of the polyploid crop oilseed rape by transcriptome sequencing. Nature Biotechnology **29**, 762–766.

Berger D, Altmann T. 2000. A subtilisin-like serine protease involved in the regulation of stomatal density and distribution in *Arabidopsis* thaliana. Genes and Development **14**, 1119–1131.

Page 12 of 13 | Shen et al.

Chalhoub B, Denoeud F, Liu S, et al. 2014. Plant genetics. Early allopolyploid evolution in the post-Neolithic *Brassica napus* oilseed genome. Science **345**, 950–953.

Chen X. 2009. Small RNAs and their roles in plant development. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology **25,** 21–44.

Chi WT, Fung RW, Liu HC, Hsu CC, Charng YY. 2009. Temperatureinduced lipocalin is required for basal and acquired thermotolerance in *Arabidopsis*. Plant, Cell and Environment **32**, 917–927.

Dai X, Zhao PX. 2011. psRNATarget: a plant small RNA target analysis server. Nucleic Acids Research **39**, W155–W159.

Emery JF, Floyd SK, Alvarez J, Eshed Y, Hawker NP, Izhaki A, Baum SF, Bowman JL. 2003. Radial patterning of *Arabidopsis* shoots by class III HD-ZIP and KANADI genes. Current Biology **13**, 1768–1774.

Gong L, Kakrana A, Arikit S, Meyers BC, Wendel JF. 2013. Composition and expression of conserved microRNA genes in diploid cotton (*Gossypium*) species. Genome Biology and Evolution **5**, 2449–2459.

Grubb CD, Abel S. 2006. Glucosinolate metabolism and its control. Trends in Plant Science **11,** 89–100.

Guo HS, Xie Q, Fei JF, Chua NH. 2005. MicroRNA directs mRNA cleavage of the transcription factor NAC1 to downregulate auxin signals for *Arabidopsis* lateral root development. The Plant Cell **17**, 1376–1386.

He XF, Fang YY, Feng L, Guo HS. 2008. Characterization of conserved and novel microRNAs and their targets, including a TuMV-induced TIR-NBS-LRR class R gene-derived novel miRNA in *Brassica*. FEBS Letters 582, 2445–2452.

Huang D, Koh C, Feurtado JA, Tsang EW, Cutler AJ. 2013. MicroRNAs and their putative targets in *Brassica napus* seed maturation. BMC Genomics **14**, 140.

Jones-Rhoades MW, Bartel DP. 2004. Computational identification of plant microRNAs and their targets, including a stress-induced miRNA. Molecular Cell **14**, 787–799.

Kavanagh KL, Jornvall H, Persson B, Oppermann U. 2008. Mediumand short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase gene and protein families: the SDR superfamily: functional and structural diversity within a family of metabolic and regulatory enzymes. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences **65**, 3895–3906.

Kenan-Eichler M, Leshkowitz D, Tal L, Noor E, Melamed-Bessudo C, Feldman M, Levy AA. 2011. Wheat hybridization and polyploidization results in deregulation of small RNAs. Genetics **188**, 263–272.

Khraiwesh B, Zhu JK, Zhu J. 2012. Role of miRNAs and siRNAs in biotic and abiotic stress responses of plants. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta **1819**, 137–148.

Korbes AP, Machado RD, Guzman F, et al. 2012. Identifying conserved and novel microRNAs in developing seeds of *Brassica napus* using deep sequencing. PLoS One **7**, e50663.

Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, Connors J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, Jones SJ, Marra MA. 2009. Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Research **19**, 1639–1645.

Laufs P, Peaucelle A, Morin H, Traas J. 2004. MicroRNA regulation of the CUC genes is required for boundary size control in *Arabidopsis* meristems. Development **131**, 4311–4322.

Li FG, Fan GY, Lu CR, *et al.* 2015. Genome sequence of cultivated Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* TM-1) provides insights into genome evolution. Nature Biotechnology **33**, 524–U242.

Li-Beisson Y, Shorrosh B, Beisson F, et al. 2013. Acyl-lipid metabolism. The Arabidopsis Book 11, e0161.

Liu HH, Tian X, Li YJ, Wu CA, Zheng CC. 2008. Microarray-based analysis of stress-regulated microRNAs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. RNA 14, 836–843.

Liu PP, Montgomery TA, Fahlgren N, Kasschau KD, Nonogaki H, Carrington JC. 2007. Repression of AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR10 by microRNA160 is critical for seed germination and post-germination stages. The Plant Journal **52**, 133–146.

Liu S, Liu Y, Yang X, *et al.* 2014. The *Brassica oleracea* genome reveals the asymmetrical evolution of polyploid genomes. Nature Communications **5**, 3930.

Lu C, Meyers BC, Green PJ. 2007. Construction of small RNA cDNA libraries for deep sequencing. Methods **43**, 110–117.

Lukasik A, Pietrykowska H, Paczek L, Szweykowska-Kulinska

Z, **Zielenkiewicz P**. 2013. High-throughput sequencing identification of novel and conserved miRNAs in the *Brassica oleracea* leaves. BMC Genomics **14**, 801.

Ma ZR, Coruh C, Axtell MJ. 2010. *Arabidopsis lyrata* small RNAs: transient MIRNA and small interfering RNA loci within the *Arabidopsis* genus. The Plant Cell **22**, 1090–1103.

Mallory AC, Bartel DP, Bartel B. 2005. MicroRNA-directed regulation of *Arabidopsis* AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR17 is essential for proper development and modulates expression of early auxin response genes. The Plant Cell **17**, 1360–1375.

Meyers BC, Axtell MJ, Bartel B, et al. 2008. Criteria for annotation of plant MicroRNAs. The Plant Cell **20**, 3186–3190.

Mugford SG, Yoshimoto N, Reichelt M, et al. 2009. Disruption of adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate kinase in *Arabidopsis* reduces levels of sulfated secondary metabolites. The Plant Cell **21,** 910–927.

Navarro L, Dunoyer P, Jay F, Arnold B, Dharmasiri N, Estelle M, Voinnet O, Jones JD. 2006. A plant miRNA contributes to antibacterial resistance by repressing auxin signaling. Science **312**, 436–439.

Ng DW, Lu J, Chen ZJ. 2012. Big roles for small RNAs in polyploidy, hybrid vigor, and hybrid incompatibility. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **15**, 154–161.

Niu Y, Wu GZ, Ye R, Lin WH, Shi QM, Xue LJ, Xu XD, Li Y, Du YG, Xue HW. 2009. Global analysis of gene expression profiles in *Brassica napus* developing seeds reveals a conserved lipid metabolism regulation with *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Molecular Plant **2**, 1107–1122.

Palatnik JF, Allen E, Wu X, Schommer C, Schwab R, Carrington JC, Weigel D. 2003. Control of leaf morphogenesis by microRNAs. Nature 425, 257–263.

Passardi F, Longet D, Penel C, Dunand C. 2004. The class III peroxidase multigenic family in rice and its evolution in land plants. Phytochemistry **65**, 1879–1893.

Pollard M, Beisson F, Li Y, Ohlrogge JB. 2008. Building lipid barriers: biosynthesis of cutin and suberin. Trends in Plant Science **13**, 236–246.

Qiu D, Morgan C, Shi J, et al. 2006. A comparative linkage map of oilseed rape and its use for QTL analysis of seed oil and erucic acid content. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **114,** 67–80.

Reintanz B, Lehnen M, Reichelt M, Gershenzon J, Kowalczyk M, Sandberg G, Godde M, Uhl R, Palme K. 2001. *bus*, a bushy *Arabidopsis* CYP79F1 knockout mutant with abolished synthesis of short-chain aliphatic glucosinolates. The Plant Cell **13**, 351–367.

Rogers K, Chen X. 2013. Biogenesis, turnover, and mode of action of plant microRNAs. The Plant Cell **25**, 2383–2399.

Schwab R, Palatnik JF, Riester M, Schommer C, Schmid M, Weigel D. 2005. Specific effects of microRNAs on the plant transcriptome. Developmental Cell 8, 517–527.

Shen D, Suhrkamp I, Wang Y, Liu S, Menkhaus J, Verreet JA, Fan L, Cai D. 2014. Identification and characterization of microRNAs in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) responsive to infection with the pathogenic fungus *Verticillium longisporum* using *Brassica* AA (*Brassica rapa*) and CC (*Brassica oleracea*) as reference genomes. New Phytologist **204**, 577–594.

Song C, Fang J, Wang C, Guo L, Nicholas KK, Ma Z. 2010a. MiR-RACE, a new efficient approach to determine the precise sequences of computationally identified trifoliate orange (*Poncirus trifoliata*) microRNAs. PloS One **5**, e10861.

Song C, Jia Q, Fang J, Li F, Wang C, Zhang Z. 2010b. Computational identification of citrus microRNAs and target analysis in citrus expressed sequence tags. Plant Biology **12**, 927–934.

Stief A, Altmann S, Hoffmann K, Pant BD, Scheible WR, Baurle I. 2014. *Arabidopsis* miR156 regulates tolerance to recurring environmental stress through SPL transcription factors. The Plant Cell **26**, 1792–1807.

Stocks MB, Moxon S, Mapleson D, Woolfenden HC, Mohorianu I, Folkes L, Schwach F, Dalmay T, Moulton V. 2012. The UEA sRNA workbench: a suite of tools for analysing and visualizing next generation sequencing microRNA and small RNA datasets. Bioinformatics **28**, 2059–2061.

Stupar RM, Hermanson PJ, Springer NM. 2007. Nonadditive expression and parent-of-origin effects identified by microarray and allele-specific expression profiling of maize endosperm. Plant Physiology **145**, 411–425.

Sunkar R, Li YF, Jagadeeswaran G. 2012. Functions of microRNAs in plant stress responses. Trends in Plant Science **17**, 196–203.

Tang S, Wang Y, Li Z, Gui Y, Xiao B, Xie J, Zhu QH, Fan L. 2012. Identification of wounding and topping responsive small RNAs in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*). BMC Plant Biology **12**, 28.

Tempel S. 2012. Using and understanding RepeatMasker. Methods in Molecular Biology 859, 29–51.

Nagaharu N. 1935. Genome analysis in *Brassica* with special reference to the experimental formation of *B. napus* and peculiar mode of fertilization. Japanese Journal of Botany **7**, 389–452.

Vaucheret H, Vazquez F, Crete P, Bartel DP. 2004. The action of ARGONAUTE1 in the miRNA pathway and its regulation by the miRNA pathway are crucial for plant development. Genes and Development **18**, 1187–1197.

Vazquez F, Legrand S, Windels D. 2010. The biosynthetic pathways and biological scopes of plant small RNAs. Trends in Plant Science **15**, 337–345.

Voinnet O. 2009. Origin, biogenesis, and activity of plant microRNAs. Cell **136**, 669–687.

Wang F, Li H, Zhang Y, Li J, Li L, Liu L, Wang L, Wang C, Gao J. 2013. MicroRNA expression analysis of rosette and folding leaves in Chinese cabbage using high-throughput Solexa sequencing. Gene **532**, 222–229.

Wang L, Yu S, Tong C, *et al.* 2014. Genome sequencing of the high oil crop sesame provides insight into oil biosynthesis. Genome Biology **15**, R39.

Wang X, Wang H, Wang J, *et al.* 2011. The genome of the mesopolyploid crop species *Brassica rapa*. Nature Genetics **43**, 1035–1039.

Wang Y, Tang H, Debarry JD, *et al.* 2012. MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. Nucleic Acids Research **40**, e49.

Williams L, Grigg SP, Xie M, Christensen S, Fletcher JC. 2005. Regulation of *Arabidopsis* shoot apical meristem and lateral organ formation by microRNA miR166g and its AtHD-ZIP target genes. Development **132**, 3657–3668. Wittstock U, Burow M. 2010. Glucosinolate breakdown in *Arabidopsis*: mechanism, regulation and biological significance. The Arabidopsis Book **8**, e0134.

Wu MF, Tian Q, Reed JW. 2006. *Arabidopsis* microRNA167 controls patterns of ARF6 and ARF8 expression, and regulates both female and male reproduction. Development **133**, 4211–4218.

Xie F, Zhang B. 2015. microRNA evolution and expression analysis in polyploidized cotton genome. Plant Biotechnology Journal **13**, 421–434.

Xu MY, Dong Y, Zhang QX, Zhang L, Luo YZ, Sun J, Fan YL, Wang L. 2012. Identification of miRNAs and their targets from *Brassica napus* by high-throughput sequencing and degradome analysis. BMC genomics **13**, 421.

Xu Z, Wang H. 2007. LTR_FINDER: an efficient tool for the prediction of full-length LTR retrotransposons. Nucleic Acids Research **35**, W265–W268.

Yao Y, Guo G, Ni Z, Sunkar R, Du J, Zhu JK, Sun Q. 2007. Cloning and characterization of microRNAs from wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Genome Biology **8**, R96.

Yu X, Wang H, Lu Y, de Ruiter M, Cariaso M, Prins M, van Tunen A, He Y. 2012. Identification of conserved and novel microRNAs that are responsive to heat stress in *Brassica rapa*. Journal of Experimental Botany 63, 1025–1038.

Zhang B. 2015. MicroRNA: a new target for improving plant tolerance to abiotic stress. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 1749–1761.

Zhang TZ, Hu Y, Jiang WK, *et al.* 2015. Sequencing of allotetraploid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L. acc. TM-1) provides a resource for fiber improvement. Nature Biotechnology **33**, 531–537.

Zhao YT, Wang M, Fu SX, Yang WC, Qi CK, Wang XJ. 2012. Small RNA profiling in two *Brassica napus* cultivars identifies microRNAs with oil production- and development-correlated expression and new small RNA classes. Plant Physiology **158**, 813–823.

Zhou X, Wang G, Zhang W. 2007. UV-B responsive microRNA genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Molecular Systems Biology **3**, 103.

Zhou ZS, Song JB, Yang ZM. 2012. Genome-wide identification of *Brassica napus* microRNAs and their targets in response to cadmium. Journal of Experimental Botany **63**, 4597–4613.